

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/486,744		03/01/2000	YVES TROUILHET	AD6530	9833
23906	7590	•08/21/2003		15	
		NEMOURS AND (	EXAMINER		
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128				HON, SOW FUN	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	,		1772	
				DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/486,744	TROUILHET, YVES					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Sow-Fun Hon	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	June 2003 .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii iioiii coiisideratioii.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement						
Application Papers	or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by	he Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ o	lisapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	pplication No					
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•						
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional application has b	een received.					
Attachment(s)		33					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/486,744

Art Unit: 1772

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

## Rejections Withdrawn

1. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections in Paper # 13 (mailed 02/27/03) have been withdrawn due to the new rejections set forth below.

#### New Rejections

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parks et al., as evidenced by DuPont.

Parks et al. has a paperboard laminate wherein an embodiment shows a laminate (sandwich structure) of tie layer/amorphous nylon/adhesive (tie) layer coextruded onto the inner surface of the paperboard (column 3, lines 15-20). Parks et al. teaches that the adhesive (tie) layer is an anhydride (maleic) grafted (modified) ethylene (ethyl/methyl/butyl) acrylate with a basis weight of 3.2 to 13 g/m² which overlaps the range of between 1 and 5 g/m². Parks et al. teaches that the claimed ethylene vinyl acetate, ethylene-acid copolymer adhesive materials are well known in the art as demonstrated by the cited patents incorporated by reference (column 4, lines 45-60). The layer of paper (board) has a weight of about 244 g/m² (150 lbs/ream) which is in the range of between 20 and 400 g/m² (column 4, lines 30-35).

Art Unit: 1772

Parks et al. teaches that the amorphous nylon is preferred due to its being suitable for coextrusion coating (column 4, lines 35-45) and that the basis weight is 6.5 to 60 g/m² (4-12 lbs/ream) which overlaps the range between 10 and 30 g/m². Because Parks et al. teaches that the amorphous nylon Selar PA 3426 has an oxygen permeability of 0.24 cc.mil/100 in².day.atm (column 7, lines 35-55), it is the examiner's position that the claimed oxygen barrier property of the present application in terms of 10 and 1000 cc/m².day.atm is met by the laminate comprising the amorphous nylon Selar PA 3426 of Parks et al.

Because DuPont teaches that the moisture barrier property of nylon Selar PA 3426 is 2.0 g/100 in<sup>2</sup>.day.atm in terms of water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) at 23°C and 95 % relative humidity, it is the examiner's position that the claimed water vapor barrier between 100 and 1000 g/at 38°C and 90 % relative humidity of the present application in terms of g/100 in<sup>2</sup>.day.atm in terms of water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) at 23°C and 95 % relative humidity is inherent in the laminate comprising the amorphous nylon Selar PA 3426 of Parks et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parks et al., as evidenced by DuPont.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,744

. Art Unit: 1772

Parks et al. has been discussed above and teaches the layer of grafted ethylene copolymer having a weight of between 1 and 5 g/m<sup>2</sup>, the layer of nylon having a weight of between 10 and 30 g/m<sup>2</sup>, comprising between 5 and 100 weight % of amorphous nylon.

Parks et al. teaches that the layer of paper (board) has a weight of about 244 g/m<sup>2</sup> (150 lbs/ream) (column 4, lines 30-35) which is right outside the claimed range of between 20 and 400 g/m<sup>2</sup>. Since Parks teaches that the thickness of the paper may vary (column 5, lines 5-15), it is the examiner's position that the lower weights are the result of routine experimentation.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park, as evidenced by DuPont, as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Zabrocki.

Parks et al. teaches that the adhesive (tie) layer is an anhydride (maleic) grafted (modified) ethylene (ethyl/methyl/butyl) acrylate (column 4, lines 45-60).but fails to teach that the layer of grafted ethylene copolymer further comprises up to 40 weight % of a copolyether, copolyetheramide or a polyurethane thermoplastic.

Zabrocki teaches adhesives which have unexpected synergistic increase in strength values over those of the individual components and yet are coextrudable (column 9, lines 30-60). The adhesive blends comprise from about 20 to about 80 weight percent thermoplastic polyurethane and from about 5 to about 50 weight percent of modified polyolefin (column 3, lines 40-45) wherein the modified polyolefin is taught to be graft olefin copolymers, a specific example being a maleic anhydride grafted ethylene/vinyl acetate copolymer blend (column 11, lines 1-30). Zabrocki teaches that the blends are flexible, have high tensile and tear strength, with good adhesion to a wide variety of plastics, useful in plastic laminating (column 9, lines 60-68).

. Art Unit: 1772

Since both Zabrocki and Park teach the desireability of suitability for coextrusion in plastic laminating, they are analogous art.

Because Zabrocki teaches that the blends have unexpected synergistic increase in strength values over those of the individual components and yet are coextrudable, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the claimed adhesive blend of polyurethane thermoplastic and maleic anhydride grafted ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer of Zabrocki in lieu of the maleic anhydride grafted ethylene vinyl acetate adhesive layer in the invention of Parks et al. in order to obtain a flexible laminate packaging material with improved interlaminar adhesive strength.

# Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. However, the arguments with respect to the validity of Parks et al. as the primary reference are addressed in order to further prosecution.
- 8. Applicant argues that all layers are required in Parks et al. and that to select only the second, third and fourth layers is impermissible hindsight.
- a. First of all, Applicant is respectfully apprised that the "comprising" claim language does not preclude other layers.
  - b. Secondly, Parks et al. teaches that the amorphous nylon layer applied to the inner surface of the paper substrate via a tie layer is the heart of the invention of Parks et al. since the invention is directed to laminates for non-liquid dry products as well (column 2, lines 50-

Application/Control Number: 09/486,744

. Art Unit: 1772

60). Beverage containers require the LDPE coat (column 2, lines 1-10). Thus for non-liquid dry products which do not require the LDPE layer, Parks et al. does not preclude the exclusion of the other layers aside from the amorphous nylon layer applied to the inner surface of the paper substrate via a grafted ethylene copolymer tie layer. The essential amorphous nylon/grafted ethylene copolymer/paperboard will then have the accompanying overall laminate oxygen barrier and water vapor transmission rate.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose telephone number is (703)308-3265. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (703)308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Sow-Fun Hon

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER